

When do Laws and Institutions Affect the Recovery Rate of Collateral?

**By Hans Degryse, Vasso Ioannidou,
Jose M. Liberti, Jason Sturgess**

Discussion by Ibolya Schindele
BI Norwegian Business School

OeNB Workshop on Using Microdata for
Macroprudential Policy, 18-19 September 2014

Research Question

- Do legal institutions (creditor rights) affect **efficiency of secured lending**?
 - Do legal institutions affect banks' capacity to enforce debt contracts i.e. **banks' expected recovery rate on collateral**?
 - How? Through which channels?
 - Does impact of low creditor protection on recovery expectations translate into changes in **contracting terms (cost of capital)**?

Main Findings

- **Creditor rights** affect efficiency of secured lending
 - Creditor protection affects differential impact of collateral types on expected recovery rate
 - **Larger recovery rate spread** between divertible and non-divertible collateral in low creditor protection countries than in high creditor protection countries
 - Mechanisms: Legal institutions mitigate **agency risk** and facilitate redeployability of **firm specific assets**
 - Negative effect of lack of creditor protection on expected collateral recovery translates into stricter contracting terms (**higher cost of capital**)

Identification Strategy

- Detailed **loan level cross-country dataset** ensures **thorough identification strategy**
 - Estimate **within country differences** in the impact of use of divertible and non-divertible collateral on banks' expected recovery rates, for countries with different levels of creditor protection
 - Use of **country effects** ensures identification of causal impact of creditor protection on recovery rates

Identification Strategy Con't

- Detailed **loan level cross-country dataset** ensures **thorough identification strategy**
 - Estimate **differences** in the impact of use of divertible and non-divertible collateral on banks' expected recovery rates i) **for borrowers within same country, same industry, at same quarter**, ii) **for same borrower**, in countries with different levels of creditor protection
 - Use of i) **country-industry-time**, ii) **borrower fixed effects** ensures strong identification of causal impact of creditor protection

Comment 1:

Connection to Liberti and Mian (2010)

- Distinction vis-a-vis Liberti and Mian (2010) could be emphasized more for reader „uneducated” in field (currently only similarities explained)
 - Same dataset, same classification of collateral assets
 - But: LM (2010) focus on impact of institutional environment on **collateral demand** for given project risk (i.e. process of collateralization) rather than **(expected) efficiency of collateral contract enforcement**

Comment 2: Bank relationships

- **Multiple bank relationships**, seniority of claim
 - Bank's expected recovery rate may be lower when other claimholders are more senior, and seniority may also affect the type of collateral bank may ask for
 - Identify subsample of firms with only one bank?
 - If no information on bank relationships or seniority of claim, is it possible to stretch identification further?
 - Estimate **within-loan differences** in impact of divertible and non-divertible collateral on recovery rates? Perhaps for small sub-sample of dataset?
 - Section II: ,... loans are secured by multiple asset classes'

Comment 3: Role of Firm Size

- Are results driven by presence of small firms in the sample?
 - Small firms are substantial part of sample. **Small (and young(?))** firms may have less non-divertible assets.
 - Estimate impact of creditor protection on difference between recovery rates on divertible and non-divertible collateral only for large size firms

Comment 4: Impact on Contract Terms

- Do banks use other contracting terms (beyond interest rate) to compensate for negative effect of low creditor protection on expected recovery rates?
 - Amount of collateral relative to loan size?
 - Higher collateral size along with higher interest rates may substitute for low creditor protection?
 - Maturity of loan?

Further Comments

- Expected recovery rate is subjective measure of contract enforcement
 - Can you describe rating procedure? Is it same officer that sets expected recovery rate for all SME loans in same country? Can we exclude possibility of „officer bias” affecting results?
- More descriptive statistics about frequency and distribution of collateral types (asset classes) across borrowers would be nice
 - Again, difficult to assess whether you could run specifications with loan or borrower-time fixed effects?
- Specification with clustering of standard errors in both country and time dimensions could be added to adjust for correlation across observations at same quarter

Conclusion

- Excellent and clearly written paper on impact of creditor rights on efficiency of collateral contract enforcement
- Authors explore advantages of micro-data to study research question that requires data with variation at country level
 - Great identification strategy
- Important contribution
 - Little research so far on impact of creditor rights on efficiency of collateral contract enforcement